

FCC MAIL SECTION

AUG 1 3 42 PM '05

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

FCC 05M-35
04593

In The Matter of)	MB Docket No. 04-191
)	
San Francisco Unified School District)	
)	
For Renewal of License for Station)	Facility ID No. 58830
KALW(FM), San Francisco, California)	File No. BRED-19970801YA

ORDER

Issued: July 28, 2005

Released: July 29, 2005

On July 15, 2005, San Francisco Unified School District ("SFUSD") filed a Motion to Move into Record its Exhibit No. 79, containing numerous public comments on SFUSD's broadcasting. An informal extension of filing deadline was requested by the Enforcement Bureau ("Bureau"), and the Presiding Judge granted an extension of time to file a response by July 29.

On July 27, 2005, the Bureau filed a Motion for Extension of Filing Deadline, and requests a further extension to September 7, 2005. The Motion for Extension is not opposed by SFUSD. The Motion represents that counsel for SFUSD and Bureau counsel have agreed in principle to enter into a stipulation that would likely obviate the need for the Bureau to file a response to SFUSD's Motion to Move into Record. Due to scheduling conflicts, the Motion requests a further extension of time until September.

The circumstances appear to warrant the extension as requested, in order to permit the parties to complete a stipulation that will save time and expense.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Extension of Filing Deadline filed by the Bureau on July 27, 2005, IS GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time for the Bureau to file a response to SFUSD's Motion to Move into Record its Exhibit 79 IS EXTENDED to **September 7, 2005.**¹

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION²

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard L. Sippel". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Richard" being more prominent.

Richard L. Sippel
Chief Administrative Law Judge

¹ It is expected that a Stipulation will be filed on or before September 7, 2005, which may obviate the need for the Bureau to file a reply pleading.

² Courtesy copies of this *Order* were transmitted to counsel for each of the parties by e-mail on the date of issuance.